UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,638	12/22/2006	Barton Haynes	1579-1093	4199
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 01/23/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	PARKIN, JEFFREY S		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1648	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/572,638	HAYNES ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey S. Parkin	1648					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>01</u> MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 Ma</u>	arch 2006						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·— · · ·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>97-107</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>97-107</u> are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) 97-107 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Coo and detailed chief detail for a list of the continue copies not received.							
Attachuseutta							
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \] 4) \[\sum \text{Interview Summary (PTO-413)} \]							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Suffirmary (PTO-413) — Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

 Application No.: 10/572,638
 Docket No.: 1579-1093

 Applicants: Haynes, B. F., et al.
 Filing Date : 12/22/2006

Detailed Office Action

Status of the Claims

Acknowledgement is hereby made of receipt and entry of the communication filed 17 March, 2006, wherein claims 1-96 were cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer and new claims 97-107 submitted. Claims 97-107 are pending in the instant application.

Unity of Invention: Multiple Inventions

This application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 371 and is subject to unity of invention practice pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 121 and 372. The regulations governing the claiming of different inventions in one national application are set forth under 37 C.F.R. § 1.141, 1.475, and 1.499. Applicants are reminded that if multiple products, processes of manufacture, or uses are claimed, the first invention of the category first mentioned in the claims of the application and the first recited invention of each of the other categories related thereto, will be considered as the main invention in the claims (refer to PCT Article 17(3)(a) and § 1.476(c)). The following groups have been identified in this application:

- a. Group I, claim(s) 97 and 106, drawn to an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 1A, Fig. 6C, Fig. 6D., etc.).
- b. Group II, claim(s) 98-100, 105, and 106, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 1A, Fig. 6C, Fig. 6D, etc.).
- c. Group III, claim(s) 101, 102, 105, and 106, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a consensus protein as set forth in

Application No.: 10/572,638 Docket No.: 1579-1093
Applicants: Haynes, B. F., et al. Filing Date : 12/22/2006

the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 26A, Fig. 28B, Fig. 29B, etc.).

- d. Group IV, claim(s) 103 and 106, drawn to an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 39A, Fig. 39B, Fig. 62B, etc.).
- e. Group V, claim(s) 104, 105, and 106, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 39A, Fig. 39B, Fig. 62B, etc.).
- f. Group VI, claim(s) 107, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in a mammal by administering an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 1A, Fig. 6C, Fig. 6D., etc.).
- g. Group VII, claim(s) 107, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in a mammal by administering a nucleic acid encoding an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 1A, Fig. 6C, Fig. 6D, etc.).
- h. Group VIII, claim(s) 107, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in a mammal by administering a nucleic acid encoding a consensus protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 26A, Fig. 28B, Fig. 29B, etc.).
- i. Group IX, claim(s) 107, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in a mammal by administering an isolated protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 39A, Fig. 39B, Fig. 62B, etc.).
- j. Group X, claim(s) 107, drawn to a method of inducing an immune response in a mammal by administering a nucleic acid encoding a protein as set forth in the identified figures (e.g., Fig. 39A, Fig. 39B, Fig. 62B, etc.).

Groups I-X fail to share a special technical feature for the following reasons: Concerning groups I-V, each of the identified groups is directed toward structurally/functionally unrelated products. Concerning groups VI-X, each of the identified methods employs different products. Accordingly, the groups do not share

Application No.: 10/572,638 Docket No.: 1579-1093
Applicants: Haynes, B. F., *et al.* Filing Date : 12/22/2006

a special technical feature. Moreover, Applicants are advised that if one of Groups I-X are elected, a specific nucleotide or amino acid sequence must also be elected. For instance, if Group I is elected, a specific amino acid sequence must also be elected from one of the sequences set forth in Figs. 1A, 6C, 6D, etc. Applicants are advised that this is NOT a species election requirement. Each of the identified sequences structurally/functionally different will and necessitate separate searches. Because of the large number of sequences encompassed in the claims and the attendant search burden associated with examining all of these claims it is deemed appropriate to limit the invention to polypeptide/nucleotide sequence.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. Applicant is also advised that the claims should be amended, where necessary, to reflect the restriction requirement and election.

37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b)

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).

 Application No.: 10/572,638
 Docket No.: 1579-1093

 Applicants: Haynes, B. F., et al.
 Filing Date : 12/22/2006

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0908. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 10:30 AM to 9:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell, Ph.D., can be reached at (571) 272-0974. Direct general status inquiries to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist at (571) 272-1600. Informal communications may be submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Applicants are reminded that the United States Patent and Trademark Office (Office) requires most patent related correspondence to be: a) faxed to the Central FAX number (571-273-8300) (updated as of July 15, 2005), b) hand carried or delivered to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), c) mailed to the mailing address set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.1 (e.g., P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450), or d) transmitted to the Office using the Office's Electronic Filing System. This notice replaces all prior Office notices specifying a specific fax number or hand carry address for certain patent related correspondence. For further information refer to the Updated Notice of Centralized Delivery and Facsimile Transmission Policy for Patent Related Correspondence, and Exceptions Thereto, 1292 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 186 (March 29, 2005).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application No.: 10/572,638 Docket No.: 1579-1093
Applicants: Haynes, B. F., et al. Filing Date : 12/22/2006

Respectfully,

/Jeffrey S. Parkin/

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

18 January, 2009